

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                      | FILING DATE                      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/620,863                           | 07/15/2003                       | Niranjan Thirukkovalur | 200208989-1 9129      |                  |
| 22879<br>HEWLETT PA                  | 7590 08/07/2007<br>CKARD COMPANY |                        | EXAM                  | INER             |
| P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD |                                  |                        | RUTHKOSKY, MARK       |                  |
|                                      | AL PROPERTY ADMINIS              | STRATION               | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER |                  |
|                                      |                                  |                        | 1745                  |                  |
|                                      |                                  |                        |                       |                  |
|                                      |                                  |                        | MAIL DATE             | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                                      |                                  |                        | 08/07/2007            | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                  |                                      |  |  |  |
|---|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
|   | 10/620,863  | THIRUKKOVALUR ET AL.          |                                      |  |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment   | Examiner  | Art Unit                      |                                      |  |  |  |
|   | Mark Ruthkosky  | 1745                          |                                      |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  |   | <del> </del>                  | dress                                |  |  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:   |   | ·                             |                                      |  |  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a)    A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of)  A proposed reply was received as but it does not be a failure of | lailing or Transmission dated<br>month(s)) which expired on | •                             |                                      |  |  |  |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does   |   | • •                           |                                      |  |  |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (  | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);                         |                               |                                      |  |  |  |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  |   |                               |                                      |  |  |  |
| (d) 🖾 No reply has been received.   |   |                               |                                      |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and<br/>from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8</li> </ol>   |   | the statutory period          | of three months                      |  |  |  |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).   |   |                               |                                      |  |  |  |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance  | e of \$ is due.   |                               |                                      |  |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$   |   |                               |                                      |  |  |  |
| (c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no  | ot been received.   |                               |                                      |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ<br/>Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>  | ired by, and within the three-month p                       | period set in, the No         | tice of                              |  |  |  |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  |   |                               |                                      |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.   |   |                               |                                      |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the<br/>the applicants.</li> </ol>  | e attorney or agent of record, the ass                      | ignee of the entire in        | nterest, or all of                   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an<br/>1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>   | attorney or agent (acting in a repres                       | sentative capacity ur         | der 37 CFR                           |  |  |  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair  |   | se the period for see         | king court review                    |  |  |  |
| 7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:   |   |                               |                                      |  |  |  |
| Mr. Slaven confirmed that no reply was sent to the office in response to the office action.   |   |                               |                                      |  |  |  |
|   |   | MARK F<br>PRIMAR<br>Uda Fasta | NUTHKOSKY<br>Y EXAMINER<br>My 8-3-07 |  |  |  |